



#4

PTO/SB/64 (11-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED
UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**Docket Number (Optional)
BHT-3106-136

First named inventor: Tsung-Chih Chen

Application No.: 09/836,192

Art Unit: 3744

Filed: 04/18/2001

Examiner: Jiang, Chen

Title: Cooling Exchanger

RECEIVED

APR 01 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONSAttention: Office of Petitions
Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
FAX: (703) 308-6916NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions
Information at (703) 305-9282.The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a
notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the
expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus an extensions of time
actually obtained.**APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION**

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

- (1) Petition fee;
- (2) Reply and/or issue fee;
- (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee --required for all utility and plant applications
filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and
- (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.

1. Petition fee☒ Small entity-fee \$ 650.00 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.☐ Other than small entity - fee \$ _____ (37 CFR 1.17(m))**2. Reply and/or fee****A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in**the form of Response with Arguments and Amendments (identify type of reply):

- ☐ has been filed previously on _____
☒ is enclosed herewith.

B. The issue fee and publication fee (if required) of \$ _____.

- ☐ has been paid previously on _____
☐ is enclosed herewith.

[Page 1 of 2]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

03/30/2004 CNGUYEN 00000047 09836192

650.00 DP

01 FC:1999

Sent By: ;

626 885 2859 ;

Mar 16 04 6:06PM;

Page 9/10

PTO/SB/84 (08-03)

Approved for use 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee

- ☒ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
- ☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ _____ for a small entity or \$ _____ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).

4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D))].

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Date

Telephone
Number:

886-3-3868997

Signature

Tsung-Chih Chen

Typed or printed name

54-23, 70, Fu-Shing Road

Address

Taoyuan, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Address

Enclosures: ☒ Fee Payment☒ Reply☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form☒ Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay☒ Other: Revocation of Power of Attorney With New Power of Attorney/Change Address

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☐ transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 308-6916.

March 23, 2004
Date

Signature

Charles R. Sutton Reg. No. 42,176

Type or printed name of person signing certificate

Best Available Copy

STATEMENTS ESTABLISHING UNINTENTIONAL DELAY

I live in Taiwan, R.O.C. and I had relied on a paralegal organization within my country to file and prosecute my patent applications. Without my knowledge, that paralegal organization hired Dougherty and Troxell to file and prosecute the applications. When the Office Actions were sent out I was never notified of them, and hence could not respond in a timely manner. This lack of knowledge was unintentional on my part since I assumed that the paralegal organization I had hired to look out for my legal interests would do so. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. When I hired THE LAW OFFICES OF ROGER C. HSU, 201 South lake Ave., Ste. 302, Pasadena, CA 91101 to check on the status of my patent applications. That law firm has tracked down the practitioner who had worked on my applications and ordered the file from him diligently. As soon as we have received the files, I filed this Petition and the only delay that has occurred since I knew of the abandonment has been the time needed to get the files and prepare the required Reply.

The geographic and language barriers between the USPTO and I as well as the artificial barrier created when my paralegal firm hired a firm in the United States with whom I had no contact are the reasons for the unintentional delay.